arXiv:0910.2618v1 [math.DG] 14 Oct 2009

ON PROJECTIVE SURFACES WITH COMPATIBLE WEYL STRUCTURE

THOMAS METTLER

Abstract. As a generalization of the Riemann metrizability problem for projective surfaces, the compatibility of Weyl and projective structures on an oriented surface M is studied. It is shown that locally every smooth projective surface admits a compatible Weyl structure and that the Weyl structures which are compatible with the canonical flat projective structure on the 2-sphere are in one-to-one correspondence with the smooth conics $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ without real points. Moreover proving the converse of a result by Bryant, it is shown that every oriented compact surface which admits a positive Weyl-Zoll structure gives rise to a constant positive curvature Finsler structure on the 2-sphere with 2π-periodic geodesic flow.

0. Introduction

The existence problem for Riemannian metrics on a smooth manifold N with prescribed geodesics is an interesting problem in the theory of over determined systems of differential equations. Here a geodesic is understood to be a minimizer of the Riemannian length functional. In particular, the geodesics come with no distinguished parameterization and are typically prescribed by a *projective structure*, i.e. an equivalence class $[\nabla]$ of affine connections on N, where two such connections are said to be equivalent if they have the same geodesics up to parameterization. Note that for an affine connection ∇ with torsion T, $\nabla - \frac{1}{2}T$ is an affine torsion-free connection with the same geodesics, hence we may only consider affine connections with vanishing torsion, which is what will be done from now on.

Although known for some time, the local *Riemannian metrizability problem* has just been solved recently for real analytic projective surfaces [1]. Picking up an idea by Roger Liouville, who first considered the problem in 1889 [12], Bryant, Dunajsk and Eastwood determine the local conditions

---

Date: October 14, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A20, 53B10, 53C28.

Key words and phrases. Projective structures, metrizability problem, Cartan connections.

Research for this article was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation via the grants SNF 200020-121506, SNF 200020-107652, SNF 200021-116165, SNF 200020-124668.

for $[\nabla]$ which are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a local Riemannian metric g whose Levi-Civita connection D^g is projectively equivalent to ∇.

Unfortunately even for a projective surface the conditions are rather complicated[1] and require several pages if written out explicitly. It seems therefore reasonable to consider a more general class of admissible connections than the ones of Levi-Civita. The class of connections the author proposes are the Weyl connections [15]: An affine torsion-free connection ∇ on N is called a *Weyl connection* if there exists a pair (g,β) consisting of a smooth Riemannian metric g and a smooth 1-form β on N such that

$$\nabla g = 2\beta \otimes g.$$

The factor 2 is a matter of convention and used to simplify later formulae. Note that for any smooth $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$, ∇ is also a Weyl connection for the pair $(\bar{g},\bar{\beta}) = (e^{2f}g, \beta + df)$. Two such pairs (g,β), $(\bar{g},\bar{\beta})$ are therefore called equivalent and an equivalence class $[g,\beta]$ will be referred to as a *Weyl structure*. A Weyl structure is said to be *exact* or *closed*, if β is exact or closed. Conversely starting with a Weyl structure $[g,\beta]$, pick any representative (g,β), then the affine torsion-free connection given by

$$(X,Y) \mapsto D^g_X Y + g(X,Y)\beta^{\#} - \beta(X)Y - \beta(Y)X,$$

is a Weyl connection for $[g,\beta]$ and in fact the only Weyl connection for $[g,\beta]$. Here $\beta^{\#}$ is the vector field dual to β with respect to g. A Weyl structure $[g,\beta]$ is said to be *compatible* with a projective structure $[\nabla]$ if its Weyl connection is projectively equivalent to ∇. Clearly again one can try to find the conditions for $[\nabla]$ which are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a compatible Weyl structure $[g,\beta]$. The Riemannian metrizability problem is then just the special case where one additionally requests that β be exact. The reason for considering this more general problem is threefold: First, in the surface case the problem admits a natural reformulation using the framework of complex geometry. Second, the Weyl connections have received considerable attention in particular in combination with the so-called Einstein-Weyl condition (see for instance [6] and references therein). Third, certain Weyl connections (to be called Weyl-Zoll structures later on) in two dimensions, whose geodesics are simple closed curves give rise to Finsler surfaces with constant positive Finsler-Gauss curvature and periodic geodesic flow.

In this paper the author provides a local solution to the Weyl compatibility problem in the surface case with smooth differentiability and some global implications. More precisely the outline is as follows:

In §1 we follow Cartan [7] in showing how one associates a Cartan geometry to an oriented projective surface $(M,[\nabla])$. Cartan's construction will be illustrated using the canonical flat example, i.e. the projective structure induced by the standard constant curvature metric on the 2-sphere.

---

[1] Already the necessary condition is given by the vanishing of a projective invariant of order 5 which contains more than 1200 terms.

In §2 we review basic notions of almost complex manifolds and the definition of the bundle of conformal inner products $\rho : \mathcal{C}(M) \to M$ whose sections are conformal structures on M. We will then use the Cartan geometry of $(M, [\nabla])$ to construct a complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$ and show that for the canonical flat example $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$.

In §3 the main result of this article will be stated and proved. It will be shown that given a conformal structure $[g]$ on $(M, [\nabla])$, there exists a Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ on M which is compatible with $[\nabla]$ if and only if $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ is a complex submanifold of $\mathcal{C}(M)$. From this it follows, that locally every projective surface admits a compatible Weyl structure. We then go on to show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between smooth conics $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ without real points and Weyl structures which are compatible with the canonical flat projective structure on the 2-sphere.

In §4 we review elementary facts about Finsler and Zoll structures and introduce a natural notion of positivity for Weyl structures on oriented surfaces. We then show that every positive Weyl structure on a compact oriented surface whose geodesics are simple closed curves, gives rise to a Finsler norm on the 2-sphere (unique up to isometry) with constant positive Finsler-Gauss curvature and 2π-periodic geodesic flow. This is a generalization of [3, Theorem 3] and the converse to [4, Prop. 6, Corollary 2].

Notation and conventions. Throughout the whole article all manifolds are assumed to be smooth, i.e. C^∞ and all their dimensions real. The letter M shall denote a connected surface, i.e. a 2-manifold. The letters i, j, k, l will be used as indices and run, unless stated otherwise, from 1 to 2. The imaginary unit will also be denoted by i since this should not cause any confusion. The Greek letter ι shall denote an embedding of a manifold into another. In particular for a principal bundle $\pi : B \to M$ with structure group H and right action $\mu : B \times H \to B$, $\iota_u : H \to B$ is for every $u \in B$ given by $h \mapsto \mu(u, h)$. As usual for every $h \in H$, $R_h : B \to B$ is given by $u \mapsto \mu(u, h)$. For an element v in the Lie algebra of H, the vector field belonging to the flow on B given by $(u, t) \mapsto \mu\left(u, \exp(tv)\right)$ will be called the *fundamental vector field of* v and denoted by X_v. Note that the fundamental vector fields span the tangent space to the fibers of $\pi : B \to M$.

An *exterior differential system* (EDS) $\mathcal{I}$ on a manifold N consists of a finite collection $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of differential forms of possibly different degree on N. An *integral manifold* for the EDS $\mathcal{I}$ consists of a manifold Σ and an immersion $f : \Sigma \to N$ which pulls-back to zero all elements of $\mathcal{I}$. An EDS with *independence condition* $(\mathcal{I}, \Pi)$ consists of an EDS $\mathcal{I}$ together with a decomposable p-form Π on N. An integral manifold of $(\mathcal{I}, \Pi)$ is an integral manifold of $\mathcal{I}$ with $f^*\Pi \neq 0$.

If $f : \Sigma \to N$ a one-to-one immersion, then the pair (f, Σ) is called a *submanifold* of N.

Acknowledgments. This article is part of my doctoral thesis to be presented to the Department of Mathematics, University of Fribourg. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor Norbert Hungerbühler not only for mathematical guidance, but also for being a mentor and friend. A part of the research for this article was carried out while I was visiting the MSRI in Berkeley in the summer of 2008. The MSRI's hospitality is hereby gratefully acknowledged. In particular I am grateful to Robert L. Bryant for his interest in my work and his mathematical advice. Finally I also would like to thank Gautier Berck, Andreas Bernig and Patrick Ghanaat for conversations and inspiration.

1. The Cartan geometry of an oriented projective surface

It is a classical result due to Weyl [14], that two affine connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ on a manifold N with the same torsion are projectively equivalent if and only if there exists a (unique) 1-form $\varepsilon \in \Omega^1(N)$ such that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y = \varepsilon(X)\, Y + \varepsilon(Y)\, X \tag{1}$$

for every pair of vector fields X, Y on N. In more geometric terms (1) means that the parallel transports of projectively equivalent connections along any curve agree, when thought of as maps between projective space, thus justifying the name projective structure.

Given two projective manifolds $(N_1, [\nabla_1])$, $(N_2, [\nabla_2])$ it is natural to ask for existence of diffeomorphisms $\varphi : N_1 \to N_2$ which identify the projective structures. In order to solve this equivalence problem, Cartan [7] showed how to associate, what is nowadays called a *Cartan geometry*, to every projective manifold $(N, [\nabla])$.

Since in what follows, oriented projective surfaces will be considered, Cartan's result will only be stated for this case.

Let $H \subset SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ be the Lie group of matrices of the form

$$H := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \det(a)^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a \end{array} \right) \,\middle|\, a \in GL^+(2,\mathbb{R}),\ b^t \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

The elements of H will be denoted by $h_{a,b}$. Given an oriented projective surface $(M, [\nabla])$, Cartan constructs a right principal H-bundle $\pi : B \to M$ together with a coframing $\theta \in \Omega^1(B, \mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R}))$ for which

(i) $\theta_u : T_u B \to \mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism for every $u \in B$,
(ii) $(R_h)^* \theta = h^{-1} \theta h$, for every $h \in H$,
(iii) $\theta(X_v) = v$ for every fundamental vector field X_v of $\pi : B \to M$.
(iv) Moreover writing

$$\theta = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \theta^0_0 & \theta^0_1 & \theta^0_2 \\ \theta^1_0 & \theta^1_1 & \theta^1_2 \\ \theta^2_0 & \theta^2_1 & \theta^2_2 \end{array} \right), \tag{2}$$

the leaves of the foliation given by $\left\{\theta^2_0, \theta^2_1\right\}^\perp$ project to geodesics on M and the π-pullback of every positive volume form on M is a positive multiple of $\theta^1_0 \wedge \theta^2_0$.

(v) The curvature 2-form $\Theta := d\theta + \theta \wedge \theta$ satisfies

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_1\,\theta^1_0 \wedge \theta^2_0 & L_2\,\theta^1_0 \wedge \theta^2_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

for some smooth functions $L_i : B \to \mathbb{R}$.

This Cartan geometry $(\pi : B \to M, \theta)$ is useful because of the following consequences: Suppose $\varphi : (M_1, [\nabla_1]) \to (M_2, [\nabla_2])$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of surfaces which identifies the projective structures, then there exists a unique H-bundle isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : B_1 \to B_2$ covering φ (i.e. $\pi_2 \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(u \cdot h) = \tilde{\varphi}(u) \cdot h$, $u \in B_1, h \in H$) for which $\tilde{\varphi}^*\theta_2 = \theta_1$. Conversely every H-bundle isomorphism $F : B_1 \to B_2$ with $F^*\theta_2 = \theta_1$ is of the form $F = \tilde{\varphi}$ for some orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\varphi : M_1 \to M_2$ which identifies the projective structures.

We will not review the whole construction of Cartan, but some information will be provided. Fore more details the reader can consult Cartan's paper or [10] for a more modern treatment.

We begin by recalling some facts about k-th order frame-bundles. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k-jet of a local diffeomorphism $\varphi : U_0 \to N$ defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ will be called a *k-frame* at $\varphi(0)$ and denoted by $j^k_0\varphi$. The *k-th order frame bundle* $\tau : F^kN \to N$ is the bundle whose fiber at $p \in N$ consists of the k-frames at p. The set $G^k(n)$ of k-frames at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ forms a group with multiplication

$$j^k_0 f_1 \cdot j^k_0 f_2 = j^k_0(f_1 \circ f_2),$$

which acts on F^kN on the right by

$$j^k_0\varphi \cdot j^k_0 f = j^k_p(\varphi \circ f).$$

Coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ on N induce coordinates on $\tau^{-1}(U)$ by sending a k-frame $j^k_0\varphi$ at $p \in U$ to the partial derivatives of $x \circ \varphi$ at 0 up to order k. Give F^kN and $G^k(n)$ the smooth structure which is compatible with these coordinates, then $\tau : F^kN \to N$ is a smooth right principal $G^k(n)$-bundle. If N is oriented, one can consider the bundle $\tau_+ : F^k_+N \to N$ whose fiber at $p \in N$ consists of the 2-jets of local orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $\varphi : U_0 \to N$ with $\varphi(0) = p$. Again $\tau_+ : F^k_+N \to N$ is a right principal bundle with structure group $G^k_+(n)$ consisting of 2-jets of orientation preserving local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which fix the origin. Finally, note that every diffeomorphism $\psi : N_1 \to N_2$ induces a principal bundle isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}^k : F^kN_1 \to F^kN_2$, $j^k_0\varphi \mapsto j^k_0(\varphi \circ \psi)$ covering ψ.

Coming back to our oriented projective surface $(M, [\nabla])$, the group H acts freely on the right on F^2_+M by

$$j^2_0\varphi \cdot h_{a,b} = j^2_0(\varphi \circ f_{a,b}).$$

Here $f_{a,b}$ is the orientation preserving, local projective transformation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$x \mapsto \frac{\tilde{a}\cdot x}{1+\tilde{b}\cdot x} = \tilde{a}\cdot x + \cdots , \quad \tilde{a} = (\det a)\, a,\ \tilde{b} = (\det a)\, b,$$

which fixes the origin. By standard methods one sees that the quotient $\mathcal{P}(M) := F^2_+M/H$ is a smooth bundle over M whose sections, as will be explained, are in 1-to-1 correspondence with oriented projective structures on M. The section of the bundle $\mathcal{P}(M) \to M$ associated to the projective structure $[\nabla]$ on M is constructed as follows: Choose orientation preserving coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and define the projective invariants

$$\Pi^i_{kl} := \Gamma^i_{kl} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\delta^i_k \sum_j \Gamma^j_{jl} + \delta^i_l \sum_j \Gamma^j_{jk}\right)$$

where $\Gamma^i_{kl} : U \to \mathbb{R}$ are the Christoffel symbols with respect to the coordinates x of any representative of $[\nabla]$. Locally $[\nabla]$ can be recovered from the projective invariants Π^i_{kl} by thinking of them as the Christoffel symbols of a representative with respect to the coordinates x. Consequently two affine torsion-free connections on M are projectively equivalent if and only if their Christoffel symbols in local coordinates give rise to the same functions Π^i_{kl}. Denote by (q^i, q^i_l, q^i_{kl}) the coordinates on $\tau_+^{-1}(U)$ induced by x, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q^i(j^2_0\varphi) &= (x\circ\varphi)^i(0),\\ q^i_l(j^2_0\varphi) &= \partial_l(x\circ\varphi)^i(0),\\ q^i_{kl}(j^2_0\varphi) &= \partial_k\partial_l(x\circ\varphi)^i(0), \end{aligned}$$

and define the local section $\sigma_x : U \to F^2_+M$ via

$$q^i\circ\sigma_x = x^i, \qquad q^i_l\circ\sigma_x = \delta^i_l, \qquad q^i_{kl}\circ\sigma_x = -\Pi^i_{kl}. \tag{4}$$

The section σ_x composed with the natural projection onto $\mathcal{P}(M)$ gives a local section of the bundle $\mathcal{P}(M) \to M$, which is independent of x, as can be shown with a tedious computation. Thus, a projective structure $[\nabla]$ on M determines a global section of the bundle $\mathcal{P}(M) \to M$ which is nothing but a reduction of the principal bundle $\tau_+ : F^2_+M \to M$ with structure group H. This is Cartan's principal H-bundle $\pi : B \to M$. Conversely every section of $\mathcal{P}(M) \to M$ defines a projective structure on M. In fact, the fiber of $\pi : B \to M$ at $p \in M$ precisely consists of those 2-frames $j^2_0\varphi \in F^2_+M$ at p for which $\varphi^{-1}\circ\gamma$ has vanishing curvature at 0 for every $[\nabla]$-geodesic γ through p.

For the construction of the 1-form θ on B we remark that on F^2_+M there exists a natural 1-form ω defined by

$$\omega_{j^2_0\varphi}(\xi) := \left(\widetilde{(\varphi^{-1})}^{1}\circ\pi_{2,1}\right)'_{j^2_0\varphi}(\xi), \quad \xi\in T_{j^2_0\varphi}F^2_+M$$

where $\pi_{2,1} : F^2_+M \to F^1_+M$ is given by $j^2_0\varphi \mapsto j^1_0\varphi$. The 1-form ω takes values in $T_{j^1_0 id_{\mathbb{R}^2}}F^1\mathbb{R}^2$, thus using the coordinates $(s^i, s^i_k) : F^1\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6$, induced by the canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^2$, one can expand

$$\omega = \sum_i \omega^i \frac{\partial}{\partial s^i}\left(j^1_0 id_{\mathbb{R}^2}\right) + \sum_{i,k} \omega^i_k \frac{\partial}{\partial s^i_k}\left(j^1_0 id_{\mathbb{R}^2}\right),$$

with some linearly independent 1-forms (ω^i, ω^i_k) on F^2_+M. Now let $\iota : B \to F^2_+M$ denote the inclusion and define

$$\theta^i_0 := \iota^*\omega^i, \qquad \theta^i_k := \iota^*\left(\omega^i_k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_k \sum_l \omega^l_l\right), \qquad \theta^0_0 := -\sum_l \theta^l_l.$$

The canonical section $\sigma_x : U \to B$ associated to orientation preserving coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$, as constructed in (4), satisfies

$$\sigma^*_x\,\theta^i_0 = dx^i, \qquad \sigma^*_x\,\theta^i_k = \sum_l \Pi^i_{kl}\,dx^l, \qquad \sigma^*_x\,\theta^0_0 = 0,$$

or in matrix form

$$\sigma^*_x\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^*_x\theta^0_1 & \sigma^*_x\theta^0_2 \\ dx^1 & -\kappa_1 dx^1 - \kappa_2 dx^2 & -\kappa_2 dx^1 - \kappa_3 dx^2 \\ dx^2 & \kappa_0 dx^1 + \kappa_1 dx^2 & \kappa_1 dx^1 + \kappa_2 dx^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

with

$$\kappa_0 := \Gamma^2_{11}, \quad 3\kappa_1 := -\Gamma^1_{11} + 2\Gamma^2_{12}, \quad 3\kappa_2 := -2\Gamma^1_{12} + \Gamma^2_{22}, \quad \kappa_3 := -\Gamma^1_{22}.$$

For the proof of this fact and the construction of the remaining two forms θ^0_1, θ^0_2 we refer the reader to [7] or [10].

Example 1 (The canonical flat projective structure). Let $[\nabla]$ be the projective structure induced by the canonical constant curvature metric on the 2-sphere S^2 equipped with its outwards orientation and $(\pi : B \to S^2, \theta)$ the corresponding Cartan geometry. Note that $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ is a right principal H-bundle over S^2 with basepoint projection

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi} : SL(3,\mathbb{R}) &\to S^2 \\ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \lambda(g_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \to S^2$ denotes the canonical projection onto S^2 and an element $g \in SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ is written as three column vectors (g_0, g_1, g_2). Now let $\psi : SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \to B$ be the map which associates to $g \in SL(3,R)$ the 2-jet generated by the map $\lambda \circ f_g$ where

$$f_g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it turns out that ψ is an H-bundle isomorphism which pulls-back θ to the Maurer Cartan form ω of $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$. In particular since $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$, the functions $L_i : SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ vanish. Oriented projective surfaces for which the functions L_i vanish are called *projectively flat* or simply flat. For a simply connected oriented projective surface $(M,[\nabla])$ with $d\theta+\theta\wedge\theta=0$, there exists a smooth map $\tilde{\varphi}: B\to SL(3,\mathbb{R})$, such that $\theta=\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}d\tilde{\varphi}$ and a smooth orientation preserving local diffeomorphism $\varphi: M\to S^2$ which is a local equivalence of oriented projective surfaces.

2. The bundle of conformal inner products and its complex structure

2.1. **The bundle of conformal inner products.** Let V be a real n-dimensional vector space. A *conformal inner-product* on V is an equivalence class of inner-products on V, where two such inner-products are equivalent if one is a positive scalar multiple of the other. The set of conformal inner products on V will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(V)$. We choose an isomorphism from V to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and henceforth think of V as a smooth manifold and of the inner products on V as smooth Riemannian metrics on V which are invariant under arbitrary translations. The group $GL^+(V)$ of orientation preserving automorphisms of V acts smoothly from the left on $\mathcal{C}(V)$ by

$$g\cdot[b]=[g_*b],\quad [b]\in\mathcal{C}(V),\ g\in GL^+(V)$$

Note that this action is transitive, since the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure is orientation preserving. Fix an element $[b_0]\in\mathcal{C}(V)$ and let its isotropy subgroup be denoted by H_0. We may identify $\mathcal{C}(V)$ with $GL^+(V)/H_0$ or by choosing a b_0-orthonormal basis for V with $GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})/Co^+(n)$ where

$$Co^+(n):=\left\{a\in GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})\,|\,aa^t=c\mathbb{1},c\in\mathbb{R}^+\right\},$$

is the *positive conformal group.* Since $Co^+(n)$ is a closed Lie subgroup of $GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})$, we assume the quotient $GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})/Co^+(n)$ to be equipped with its natural smooth structure.

Let N be a smooth n-manifold and let $\rho:\mathcal{C}(N)\to N$ be the bundle of conformal inner products whose fiber at $p\in N$ is $\mathcal{C}(T_pN)$. Using coordinates $x: U\to\mathbb{R}^n$ on N and their coordinate vector fields, $\rho^{-1}(U)$ can be identified with $U\times(GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})/Co^+(n))$, as explained above. Give $\mathcal{C}(N)$ the smooth structure for which these identifications are smooth trivializations, then $\rho:\mathcal{C}(N)\to N$ is a smooth fiber bundle with fiber $GL^+(n,\mathbb{R})/Co^+(n)$.

A section of the bundle $\rho:\mathcal{C}(N)\to N$ of conformal inner products will be called a *conformal structure* and denoted by $[g]$. Obviously this is not the usual definition of a conformal structure as an equivalence class of global Riemannian metrics, but these notions are easily seen to be equivalent.

2.2. **The notion of an almost complex structure.** Recall that an *almost complex structure* on a $2n$-manifold X is a smooth vector-bundle automorphism $J: TX\to TX$ with $J^2=-Id_{TX}$. A smooth complex valued 1-form on X is a $(1,0)$-*form of* J if $\alpha(Jv)=i\,\alpha(v)$ for every vector $v\in TX$. The $(1,0)$-forms on X will be denoted by $\Omega^{(1,0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$. If $A=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)^t$,

$\alpha_k \in \Omega^{(1,0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$ is a basis for the $(1,0)$-forms of J, then the entries of $g \cdot A$ for some smooth $g : X \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ is also a basis for the $(1,0)$-forms of J and J is uniquely determined by such a basis. The almost complex structure J is called *integrable* or simply complex, if X admits a (unique) complex structure $\{(U_\rho, z_\rho)\}_{\rho\in R}$ for which the forms $(dz^1_\rho, \dots, dz^n_\rho)$, $\rho \in R$, are a basis for the $(1,0)$-forms of J on U_ρ.

It follows from the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem that J is integrable if and only if for every (local) basis $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ of $(1,0)$-forms of J, there exist complex valued 1-forms $\{\pi_{kl}\}_{k,l=1}^n$, such that $d\alpha_k = \sum_l \pi_{kl} \wedge \alpha_l$ (see for instance [9]).

Let (X,J) be a complex manifold. A real submanifold $f : N \to X$ is called a *complex submanifold* if $(J \circ f')(T_pN) = f'(T_pN)$ for every $p \in N$.

Lemma 1. *Let (X,J) be a complex 4-manifold, $\mu_1,\mu_2 \in \Omega^{(1,0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$ a basis for the $(1,0)$-forms and $f : \Sigma \to X$ a 2-submanifold with*

$$f^*(\Re(\mu_1) \wedge \Im(\mu_1)) \neq 0.$$

Then f is a complex submanifold if and only if $f^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = 0$. Moreover through every point $p \in X$ passes such a complex submanifold.

Proof. Write $\mu_1 = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$, $\mu_2 = \eta_3 + i\eta_4$, H_i for the vector fields dual to η_i, $i = 1,\dots,4$ and W_i for the vector fields dual to $f^*\eta_i$, $i = 1,2$. Define

$$f_{ik} := \eta_i(f'(W_k)) : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R},$$

then $f_{11} = f_{22} = 1, f_{12} = f_{21} = 0$ and the condition for f to be a complex submanifold is equivalent to $f_{42} - f_{31} = 0$ and $f_{41} + f_{32} = 0$. This last condition is again equivalent to

$$f^*(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_3 - \eta_2 \wedge \eta_4) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_4 + \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3) = 0$$

or $f^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = 0$.

For $p \in X$ given, let $\varphi : U_p \to \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ be local p-centered holomorphic coordinates and $e_1 = \varphi'_p(H_1(p)), e_2 = \varphi'_p(H_2(p)) \in T_0\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$. Note that since $J(H_1(p)) = H_2(p)$, we have $j(e_1) = e_2$, where $j : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ is the canonical complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4$ induced by the identification $\mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$. Define

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (x_1,x_2) \mapsto x_1e_1 + x_2e_2,$$

then $\tilde{f}$ is holomorphic thought of as a map from $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^2$. Now $f = \varphi^{-1} \circ \tilde{f}$ restricted to a suitable neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a complex submanifold of N with $f(0) = p$ and $f'_0(T_0\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}\{H_1(p), H_2(p)\}$. In particular this implies that $f^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2)$ is non-vanishing at 0, hence also in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, which is all there is to show. □

2.3. A canonical complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$.

Let $P \subset H$ be the closed Lie subgroup consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \det(a)^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

with $b^t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a \in Co^+(2)$. The quotient H/P is diffeomorphic to $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})/Co^+(2)$. Consider the smooth map

$$\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M),\ j^2_0\varphi \mapsto \left[(\varphi_* g_E)_{\varphi(0)}\right],$$

where g_E is the Euclidean standard metric of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 2. *The map $\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ makes B into a right principal P-bundle over $\mathcal{C}(M)$.*

Proof. Since P is a closed Lie subgroup of H, it is sufficient to show that ν is a smooth surjection whose fibers are the P-orbits, i.e.

$$\nu(u_1) = \nu(u_2) \iff u_1 = u_2 \cdot p \quad \forall\, u_1, u_2 \in B, p \in P.$$

Clearly ν is smooth. Suppose $\nu(j^2_0\varphi) = \nu(j^2_0\tilde{\varphi})$ for some elements $j^2_0\varphi, j^2_0\tilde{\varphi} \in B$. Then these two elements are in the same fiber of $\pi : B \to M$, hence there exists $h_{a,b} \in H$ such that $j^2_0\tilde{\varphi} = j^2_0\varphi \cdot h_{a,b}$ and

$$c\left(\varphi_* g_E\right)_{\varphi(0)} = \left(\left(\varphi \circ f_{a,b}\right)_* g_E\right)_{\varphi(0)} \iff c(g_E)_0 = (\tilde{a}_* g_E)_0 \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{a} \in GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the linear map $x \mapsto \det a\, a \cdot x$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. This is equivalent to $\tilde{a}$ being in $Co^+(2)$ or $h_{a,b} \in P$. In other words, the ν fibers are the P-orbits. Surjectivity follows from the fact that $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as was argued before. $\square$

We will now use the forms $\alpha_1 := \theta^1_0 + i\theta^2_0$ and $\alpha_2 := (\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1) + i(\theta^2_2 - \theta^1_1)$, to define an almost complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$. The vector fields dual to the coframing θ will be denoted by $T^i_k \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$, i.e.

$$\theta^i_k\left(T^j_l\right) = \delta^{ij}\delta_{kl}, \quad i, j, k, l = 0, 1, 2.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of P consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2a_1 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some real numbers a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2. Recall that the Cartan connection θ satisfies $\theta(X_v) = v$ for every fundamental vector field $X_v \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$ of $\pi : B \to M$ and hence also for every fundamental vector field of $\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M)$. Writing θ again as in equation (2) gives for instance

$$\theta\left(T^1_1 + T^2_2\right) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore the vector fields $T^0_1, T^0_2, T^1_2 - T^2_1, T^1_1 + T^2_2$ are a basis for the fundamental vector fields of $\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ and hence also a basis for the vectors which are tangent to the fibers of ν. It follows that the the forms α_1, α_2 are *ν-semi-basic*, i.e. they vanish on vectors tangent to the fibers of ν.

Lemma 3. *There exists a unique complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$ such that a complex valued* 1*-form $\mu \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{C}(M), \mathbb{C})$ is of type $(1,0)$ if and only if $\nu^*\mu$ is a linear combination of $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(B, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. For $\xi \in T\mathcal{C}(M)$ define

$$J(\xi) := \nu'\Big(-\theta^2_0(\tilde{\xi})T^1_0 + \theta^1_0(\tilde{\xi})T^2_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta^2_2 - \theta^1_1)(\tilde{\xi})(T^1_2 + T^2_1) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}(\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1)(\tilde{\xi})(T^2_2 - T^1_1)\Big),$$

where $\tilde{\xi} \in TB$ satisfies $\nu'(\tilde{\xi}) = \xi$. Any other vector in TB which is mapped to ξ under ν' is of the form $(R_p)'(\tilde{\xi}) + \chi$ for some $p \in P$ and $\chi \in \ker \nu'$. Using the identities

$$(R_p)^*\theta = p^{-1}\,\theta\, p, \quad \nu \circ R_p = \nu, \quad p \in P$$

and the fact that α_1, α_2 are ν-semi-basic, it follows from straightforward computations that J is a well defined almost complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$ which has all the desired properties. Moreover the structure equations (3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_1 &= \left(-3\theta^2_2 + i\left(2\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1\right)\right) \wedge \alpha_1 + \left(-\theta^2_0 + 2i\theta^1_0\right) \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_2 &= \left(\theta^0_2 - i\theta^0_1\right) \wedge \alpha_1 + i\left(\theta^1_2 - \theta^2_1\right) \wedge \alpha_2, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and hence, by Newlander-Nirenberg, J is integrable. Clearly such a complex structure is unique. □

Summarizing this section we have proved the

Theorem 1. *Let $(M, [\nabla])$ be an oriented projective surface and $(\pi : B \to M, \theta)$ its Cartan geometry. Then the map $\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M), j^2_0\varphi \mapsto [(\varphi_* g_E)_{\varphi(0)}]$ makes B into a right principal P-bundle over $\mathcal{C}(M)$. Moreover $\mathcal{C}(M)$ possesses a unique complex structure such that a complex valued* 1*-form $\mu \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{C}(M), \mathbb{C})$ is of type $(1,0)$ if and only if $\nu^*\mu$ is a linear combination of $\theta^1_0 + i\theta^2_0$ and $(\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1) + i(\theta^2_2 - \theta^1_1)$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(B, \mathbb{C})$.*

Remark. In the case of a even dimensional projective manifold $(N, [\nabla])$, a similar construction gives rise to an almost complex structure on the bundle of complex structures of N. This almost complex structure was first constructed in [13] without using the Cartan geometry of a projective manifold. The advantage of the above construction is its projective invariance, which is missing in the original construction.

Example 1 (Continued). For the canonical flat projective structure on $M = S^2$, consider the smooth map $\tau : B = SL(3, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$ given by

$$(g_0\; g_1\; g_2) \mapsto [(g_0 \times g_1) + i(g_0 \times g_2)]\,.$$

Somewhat messy but simple computations show that τ is a surjection whose fibers are the P-orbits and which pulls back the $(1,0)$-forms of $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$

to linear combinations of $\theta^1_0 + i\theta^2_0$ and $(\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1) + i(\theta^2_2 - \theta^1_1)$. It follows that in the flat case case $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$. Recall that the basepoint projection in the flat case is given by $\pi : SL(3,\mathbb{R}) \to S^2$, $(g_0, g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_0/\|g_0\|$ where $\| \ \|$ denotes the Euclidean standard norm on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore $\rho : \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2 \to S^2$ is given by

$$[z] \mapsto \frac{\Re z \times \Im z}{\|\Re z \times \Im z\|}.$$

Here $\Re$ and $\Im$ denote the real and imaginary part of complex numbers and × the cross-product.

3. The compatibility problem reformulated and consequences for the flat example

3.1. The compatibility problem and complex submanifolds.

In this section we will relate complex submanifolds of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ to the Weyl compatibility problem.

Since M is a surface, every conformal structure $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ admits local orientation preserving isothermal coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with respect to which $[g_p]$ is represented by the identity matrix for every $p \in U$. The canonical section $\sigma_x : U \to B$ associated to the coordinates x, as defined in (4), has the crucial property that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B \arrow[ld, "\nu"'] \\ \mathcal{C}(M) & \\ & U \arrow[uu, "\sigma_x"'] \arrow[lu, "{[g]|_U}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. To see this note that for every $p \in U$, the first order coordinates with respect to x of the jet $\sigma_x(p)$ are by definition given by δ^i_j and for $j^2_0\varphi \in B$, the components of the inner product $(\varphi_* g_E)_{\varphi(0)}$ with respect to the same coordinates x are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ik} &= (\varphi_* g_E)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right)(\varphi(0)) = g_E\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{-1}}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial \varphi^{-1}}{\partial x^k}\right)(\varphi(0)) \\ &= \sum_l \left(\partial_i \left((x\circ\varphi)^{-1}\right)^l \partial_k \left((x\circ\varphi)^{-1}\right)^l\right)(x\circ\varphi)(0) = \sum_l v^l_i v^l_k, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where v^i_k is the inverse of the matrix $\partial_k(x\circ\varphi)^i(0)$, i.e. the matrix of first order coordinates with respect to x of the jet $j^2_0\varphi$. Therefore the matrix representation of $(\nu \circ \sigma_x)(p)$, with respect to the coordinates x, is also the identity matrix.

We will now relate conformal structures $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$, which are complex submanifolds of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ to an exterior differential system on B.

Lemma 4. *Let $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ be a conformal structure on M and $x = (x^1, x^2) : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ local orientation preserving isothermal coordinates for $[g]$.*

Then the canonical section $\sigma_x : U \to B$*, associated to* x*, is an integral manifold of the EDS with independence condition* $(\mathcal{I}, \Pi)$ *given by*

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\{\theta^0_0, d\alpha_1, \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2\right\}, \quad \Pi := \Re(\alpha_1) \wedge \Im(\alpha_1),$$

if and only if $[g]|_U : U \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ *is a complex submanifold.*

Proof. Let $s : \rho^{-1}(U) \to B$ be a local section of the bundle $\nu : B \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ and let $\mu_1 := s^*\alpha_1, \mu_2 := s^*\alpha_2$ be a local basis for the $(1,0)$-forms on $\rho^{-1}(U)$. Note that such sections exist since the principal bundle $H \to H/P$ is trivial. Now

$$\nu^*\mu_k = (s \circ \nu)^*\alpha_k = R^*_t\alpha_k$$

for some smooth function $t : \pi^{-1}(U) \to P$. Write the elements of $P \subset H$ in the form

$$p_{z,w} = \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-2} & \Re(w) & \Im(w) \\ 0 & \Re(z) & -\Im(z) \\ 0 & \Im(z) & \Re(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

for some complex numbers $z \neq 0$ and w. Then the property $R^*_h\theta = h^{-1}\theta h$, which holds for every $h \in H$, gives together with a short computation

$$R^*_{p_{z,w}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}/|z|^4 & 0 \\ i\bar{w}/\bar{z} & \bar{z}/z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Using (9) it follows

$$\nu^*\mu_1 = \lambda_1\alpha_1, \quad \nu^*\mu_2 = \lambda_2\alpha_1 + \lambda_3\alpha_2,$$

for some smooth functions $\lambda_k : \pi^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda_1\lambda_3 \neq 0$. With the formulae (5) and the commutativity of the above diagram we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*_x\left(\Re(\alpha_1) \wedge \Im(\alpha_1)\right) &= dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \neq 0, \\ \sigma^*_x d\alpha_1 &= d(dx^1 + idx^2) = 0, \\ \sigma^*_x\theta^0_0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$([g]|_U)^*\mu_1 = (\nu \circ \sigma_x)^*\mu_1 = \sigma^*_x(\lambda_1\alpha_1) = (\lambda_1 \circ \sigma_x)(dx^1 + idx^2) \neq 0, \tag{10}$$

which shows that $([g]|_U)^*(\Re(\mu_1) \wedge \Im(\mu_1)) \neq 0$. Therefore according to Lemma 1, $[g]|_U : U \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ is a complex submanifold if and only if

$$([g]|_U)^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = (\nu \circ \sigma_x)^*(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) = (\lambda_1\lambda_3 \circ \sigma_x)\,\sigma^*_x(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = 0,$$

which finishes the proof. □

The EDS of the above Lemma 4 precisely governs the Weyl compatibility problem for an oriented projective surface.

Proposition 1. *Let* $(M, [\nabla])$ *be an oriented projective surface with Cartan geometry* $(\pi : B \to M, \theta)$ *and* $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ *a conformal structure. Then the following two statements are equivalent*

(i) *There exists a Weyl structure* $[g, \beta]$ *which is compatible with* $[\nabla]$.

(ii) *The canonical section $\sigma_x : U \to B$ associated to any local orientation preserving isothermal coordinate chart $x = (x^1, x^2) : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of $[g]$ is an integral manifold of the EDS with independence condition $(\mathcal{I}, \Pi)$*

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\{\theta^0_0, d\alpha_1, \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2\right\}, \quad \Pi := \Re(\alpha_1) \wedge \Im(\alpha_1).$$

Proof. *(i)* ⇒ *(ii)*: The only part which is not an immediate consequence of (5) is that $\sigma_x^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = 0$. By definition the coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfy $g = e^{2f} x^* g_E$ for some smooth function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$. On U we have $[g, \beta] = [\bar{g}, \bar{\beta}]$ with $\bar{g} = x^* g_E$ and $\bar{\beta} = (\beta - df)|_U$. Write

$$(\beta - df)|_U = r^1 dx^1 + r^2 dx^2,$$

for smooth $r^1, r^2 : U \to \mathbb{R}$. The Christoffel symbols with respect to x of the connection $D^{\bar{g}} + \bar{g} \otimes \bar{\beta}^{\bar{\#}}$ are given by $\Gamma^i_{kl} = r^i \delta_{kl}$. The compatibility

$$\left[D^{\bar{g}} + \bar{g} \otimes \bar{\beta}^{\bar{\#}}\right] = [\nabla]$$

then implies that the functions $\kappa_i : U \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to x of $[\nabla]$ satisfy

$$3\kappa_1 = \kappa_3 = -r^1, \quad 3\kappa_2 = \kappa_0 = r^2,$$

so with (5) it follows

$$\sigma_x^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = (3\kappa_1 - \kappa_3) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + i(3\kappa_2 - \kappa_0) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = 0.$$

(ii) ⇒ *(i)*: Let $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$, be local orientation preserving isothermal coordinates for $[g]$ and $\sigma_x : U \to B$ the corresponding section which is an integral manifold of the above EDS. Then $g|_U = e^{2f} x^* g_E$ for some smooth $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$. Since σ_x is an integral manifold, the projective invariants $\kappa_i : U \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to x satisfy $3\kappa_1 = \kappa_3$ and $3\kappa_2 = \kappa_0$. On U define the 1-form

$$\beta := -\kappa_3 dx^1 + \kappa_0 dx^2 + df,$$

then $[g|_U, \beta]$ is a Weyl structure on U which is compatible with $[\nabla]$. Let $\tilde{x} : \tilde{U} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be another local orientation preserving isothermal coordinate chart for $[g]$ overlapping with U. Writing $g|_{\tilde{U}} = e^{2\tilde{f}} \tilde{x}^* g_E$ for some smooth $\tilde{f} : \tilde{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_i : \tilde{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ for the projective invariants of $[\nabla]$ with respect to $\tilde{x}$, then again $[g|_{\tilde{U}}, \tilde{\beta}]$ with $\tilde{\beta} := -\tilde{\kappa}_3 d\tilde{x}^1 + \tilde{\kappa}_0 d\tilde{x}^2 + d\tilde{f}$ is a Weyl structure on $\tilde{U}$ which is compatible with $[\nabla]$. On $U \cap \tilde{U}$ we have

$$[D^g + g \otimes \beta^{\#}] = [\nabla] = [D^g + g \otimes \tilde{\beta}^{\#}],$$

using Weyl's result (1), this is equivalent to the existence of a 1-form ε on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ such that

$$D^g_X Y + g(X, Y)\beta^{\#} = D^g_X Y + g(X, Y)\tilde{\beta}^{\#} + \varepsilon(X)Y + \varepsilon(Y)X$$

for every pair of vector fields X, Y on $U \cap \tilde{U}$. In particular the choice of a basis of orthonormal vector fields with respect to g implies $\varepsilon = 0$. Thus $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ on $U \cap \tilde{U}$ and β therefore extends to a well defined global 1-form which proves the existence of a global Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$, which is compatible with $[\nabla]$. □

Summarizing the results found so far we have the main

Theorem 2. *Let $[g]$ be a conformal structure on the oriented projective surface $(M, [\nabla])$. Then there exists a Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ on M which is compatible with $[\nabla]$ if and only if $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ is a complex submanifold.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4 and Proposition 1. □

Remark. The proof of the Proposition 1 shows that every complex submanifold $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ uniquely determines a compatible Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$. Theorem 2 therefore gives a bijection between the Weyl structures which are compatible with the projective structure of an oriented surface and sections of the fiber bundle $\rho : \mathcal{C}(M) \to M$ which are complex submanifolds. Note also that a conformal structure $[g]$ on an oriented surface determines a unique complex structure whose holomorphic coordinates are given by orientation preserving isothermal coordinates for $[g]$. It follows with (10) that the above theorem can be reformulated as:

Theorem 2′. *Let $[g]$ be a conformal structure on the oriented projective surface $(M, [\nabla])$. Then there exists a Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ on M which is compatible with $[\nabla]$ if and only if $[g] : M \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on $\mathcal{C}(M)$ and the complex structure on M induced by $[g]$ and the orientation.*

As a corollary we have that

Corollary 1. *Every projective surface $(M, [\nabla])$ locally admits a compatible Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ for a flat Riemannian metric g.*

Proof. Since the statement is local we may assume that M is oriented. For a given point $p \in M$, choose $q \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ with $\rho(q) = p$ and a coordinate neighborhood U_p. Let μ_1, μ_2 be a basis for the $(1,0)$-forms on $\rho^{-1}(U_p)$ as constructed in Lemma 4. Using Lemma 1 there exists a complex 2-submanifold $f : \Sigma \to \rho^{-1}(U_p)$ passing through q for which

$$f^*(\Re(\mu_1) \wedge \Im(\mu_1)) \neq 0.$$

Since the $\pi : B \to M$ pullback of a volume form on M is a nowhere vanishing multiple of $\theta^1_0 \wedge \theta^2_0$, the ρ pullback of a volume form on U_p is a nowhere vanishing multiple of $\Re(\mu_1) \wedge \Im(\mu_1)$ and hence $\rho \circ f : \Sigma \to U_p$ is a local diffeomorphism. Composing f with the locally available inverse of this local diffeomorphism one gets a local section of the bundle of conformal inner products which is defined in a neighborhood of p and which is a complex submanifold. With Theorem 2 it follows that $[\nabla]$ locally admits a compatible Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ for a Riemannian metric which we can assume to be flat. □

3.2. **Weyl structures compatible with the flat example.** In this subsection Theorem 2 will be used to study the Weyl structures which are compatible with the canonical flat projective structure on the 2-sphere.

Theorem 3. *The Weyl structures which are compatible with the canonical flat projective structure on the* 2*-sphere are in one-to-one correspondence with the smooth conics (i.e. a smooth algebraic curves of degree* 2*)* $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ *without real points.*

The proof can be adapted from [2, Theorem 9], the reason for this will be explained in §4. For the moment we give the full argument for the reader's convenience.

Proof. Suppose $[g,\beta]$ is a Weyl structure on S^2 which is compatible with the canonical flat projective structure. Then by Theorem 2 and Example 1 $[g] : S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$ is a complex submanifold and hence by Chow's Theorem $C := [g](S^2) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$ is a smooth algebraic curve whose genus is 0, since its the image of the 2-sphere under a section of a fiber bundle. Note that by standard results of algebraic geometry the genus g and degree d of a smooth plane algebraic curve satisfy the relation

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2).$$

It follows that C is either a line or a conic. Since every line in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ has a real point, C must be a conic.

Conversely let $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a smooth conic without real points. In order to show that C is the image of a smooth section of $\rho : \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2 \to S^2$, which is a complex submanifold, it is sufficient to show that $\rho|_C : C \to S^2$ is a diffeomorphism. The fiber of $\rho : \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2 \to S^2$ at $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^t \in S^2$ is a subset of the real line $u_1 z_1 + u_2 z_2 + u_3 z_3 = 0$. Smoothness of C implies that C cannot contain $\rho^{-1}(u)$ as a component and since a conic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ without real points does not have any real tangent lines, it follows from Bezout's theorem that C intersects $\rho^{-1}(u)$ transversely in two distinct points. This implies that $\rho|_C : C \to S^2$ is a submersion and hence by the compactness of C and S^2 a covering map which is at most 2-to-1. But since C is diffeomorphic to S^2, ρ must restrict to C to be a diffeomorphism onto S^2. Hence $(\rho|_C)^{-1} : S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^2$ is a smooth section and complex submanifold whose image is C. According to Theorem 2 this section determines a unique Weyl structure which is compatible with the canonical flat projective structure. □

4. Constant curvature Finsler surfaces and Weyl-Zoll structures

4.1. Finsler, Zoll and Weyl structures.

4.1.1. *Finsler structures.* Roughly speaking a Finsler structure on a manifold is a Banach norm on each tangent space varying smoothly from point to point. Instead of specifying the norm one can also specify the norm's unit vectors in each tangent space. In what follows we restrict ourselves to oriented Finsler surfaces and borrow definitions and conventions for Finsler structures from [2]:

A *Finsler structure* on an oriented surface M is a smooth hypersurface $\Sigma^3 \subset TM$ for which the basepoint projection $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ is a surjective submersion having the property that for each $p \in M$ the p-fiber $\Sigma_p = \pi^{-1}(p) = \Sigma \cap T_pM$ is a closed, strictly convex curve enclosing the origin $0_p \in T_pM$. A smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ is said to be a Σ-curve if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \Sigma$ for every $t \in [a, b]$. For every immersed curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ there exists a unique orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi : [0, L] \to [a, b]$ such that $\phi := \gamma \circ \varphi$ is a Σ-curve. The number $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the Σ-*length* of γ and the curve $\dot{\phi} : [a, b] \to \Sigma$ is called the *tangential lift* of γ. Note that in general the Σ-length may depend on the orientation of the curve.

Cartan has shown how to associate a coframing to a Finsler structure on an oriented surface M [8]: Let $\Sigma \subset TM$ be a Finsler structure. Then there exists a unique coframing $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ of Σ with dual vector fields (W_1, W_2, W_3) which satisfies the structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_1 &= -\,\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \\ d\omega_2 &= -\,\omega_3 \wedge (\omega_1 - I\omega_2), \\ d\omega_3 &= -\,(K\omega_1 - C\omega_3) \wedge \omega_2, \end{aligned}$$

for some smooth functions $I, C, K : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover the π-pullback of any positive volume form on M is a positive multiple of $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ and the tangential lift of any Σ-curve γ satisfies

$$\dot{\gamma}^*\omega_2 = 0, \qquad \dot{\gamma}^*\omega_1 = dt.$$

One can show that a Σ-curve γ is a Σ-*geodesic*, i.e. a critical point of the Σ-length functional, if and only if its tangential lift satisfies $\dot{\gamma}^*\omega_3 = 0$. The integral curves of W_1 therefore project to Σ-geodesics on M and due to this the flow of W_1 is called the *geodesic flow* of Σ.

Let $\Sigma \subset TM$ and $\bar{\Sigma} \subset T\bar{M}$ be two Finsler structures on oriented surfaces with coframings ω and $\bar{\omega}$. An orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \to \bar{M}$ with $\varphi'(\Sigma) = \bar{\Sigma}$ is called a *Finsler isometry.* It follows that for a Finsler isometry $(\varphi'|_{\Sigma})^*\bar{\omega} = \omega$ and conversely any diffeomorphism $\psi : \Sigma \to \bar{\Sigma}$ which pulls-back $\bar{\omega}$ to ω is of the form $\psi = \phi'$ for some Finsler isometry $\phi : M \to \bar{M}$.

Example 2. Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold. Then the *unit tangent bundle* $U^gM := \{v \in TM \mid \|v\|_g = 1\} \subset TM$ defines a Finsler structure on M whose first two coframing forms are explicitly given by

$$(\omega_1)_v(\xi) := g(\pi'_v(\xi), v), \quad (\omega_2)_v(\xi) := g(\pi'_v(\xi), J(v)), \quad \xi \in T_vU^gM.$$

Here $\pi : U^gM \to M$ denotes the basepoint projection and $J : TM \to TM$ the rotation of tangent vectors by $\pi/2$ in positive direction. The third coframe form ω_3 is known as the *Levi-Civita connection form.* A smooth g-unit-speed curve γ on M satisfies $\dot{\gamma}^*\omega_3 = k_g dt$ where k_g denotes the geodesic curvature of γ. For a *Riemannian Finsler structure* the functions I, C vanish identically whereas K is constant on the fibers of $\pi : U^gM \to M$ and therefore the π-pullback of a function on M which turns out to be the Gauss-curvature of g. Finally note that $\pi^*\mu = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ where μ denotes the area form of M with respect to g and that the flow of W_3 is 2π-periodic.

Since in the Riemannian case the function K is simply the Gauss-curvature, it is usually called the *Finsler-Gauss-curvature*. In general K need not be constant on the fibers of $\pi : \Sigma \to M$.

4.1.2. *Zoll projective structures and Weyl-Zoll structures.* These structures generalize the notion of a Zoll metric whose geodesics are required to be simple closed curves: A projective structure $[\nabla]$ an a manifold N is called *Zoll* if the image of every maximal geodesic of $[\nabla]$ is an embedded circle. A Weyl structure whose Weyl connection gives rise to a Zoll projective structure will be called a *Weyl-Zoll* structure.

4.1.3. *Positive Weyl structures.* A Weyl structure $[g, \beta]$ on an oriented surface M is said to be *positive* if the 2-form $(\delta\beta + R)\mu$ is a positive area form. Here $R : M \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the Gauss-curvature, δ the co-differential and μ the area form with respect to a representative (g, β). It is easy to check that the above definition is independent of the chosen representative and the orientation. Clearly every Riemannian metric on an oriented surface with positive Gauss-curvature gives rise to a positive Weyl structure. Note also that for any representative (g, β) of $[g, \beta]$ with unit tangent bundle $\pi : U^gM \to M$ and coframe $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ we have

$$d(\pi^*(\star\beta) - \omega_3) = \pi^*\left((\delta\beta + R)\mu\right),$$

where $\star$ denotes the Hodge star with respect to g.

4.2. **A duality theorem.** The reason why the proof of Theorem 3 is essentially the same as the one of [2, Theorem 9] is the following duality result which generalizes [3, Theorem 3] and provides the converse to [4, Prop. 6, Corollary 2] :

Theorem 4. *Every positive Weyl-Zoll structure on a compact oriented surface gives rise to a $K = 1$ Finsler structure on the 2-sphere (unique up to isometry) with 2π-periodic geodesic flow. Conversely every $K = 1$ Finsler structure on a compact oriented surface with 2π-periodic geodesic flow gives rise to a positive Weyl-Zoll structure (unique up to diffeomorphism) on the 2-sphere*[2].

Proof. Let M be a compact oriented surface with positive Weyl-Zoll structure $[g, \beta]$. Pick any representative (g, β) and let $\pi : U^gM \to M$ denote the unit tangent bundle with respect to g. The canonical coframe on U^gM as explained in Example 2 will be denoted by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Then the 1-forms $\omega_i \in \Omega^1(U^gM)$ given by

---

[2] After I have written up Theorem 4 I was informed by Robert L. Bryant that he has announced closely related results at the ICM 2006 (see his forthcoming paper [5]).

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &:= \pi^*(\star\beta) - \alpha_3, \\ \omega_2 &:= \left(\sqrt{\delta\beta + R}\right) \circ \pi \ \alpha_2, \\ \omega_3 &:= \left(\sqrt{\delta\beta + R}\right) \circ \pi \ \alpha_1, \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_1 &= -\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \\ d\omega_2 &= -\omega_3 \wedge (\omega_1 - I\omega_2), \\ d\omega_3 &= -(\omega_1 - C\omega_3) \wedge \omega_2, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for some smooth functions $I, C : U^gM \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover they parallelize U^gM and have the property that the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F} := \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}^{\perp}$ are tangential lifts of maximal oriented geodesics of the projective structure $[\nabla] := [D^g + g \otimes \beta^{\#}]$. Since $[\nabla]$ is Zoll, it follows with [11, Prop. 2.6], that there exists a smooth surjection $\tau : U^gM \to S^2$ whose fibers are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. the space of oriented geodesics of $[\nabla]$ is diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : U^gM &\to TS^2 \\ u &\mapsto \tau'_u(W_1(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then by [2, Prop. 1] φ immerses each τ-fiber $U^gM_p = \tau^{-1}(p)$ as a curve in T_pS^2 that is strictly convex towards 0_p. The number of times $\varphi(U^gM_p)$ winds around 0_p does not depend on p. We will argue next, that this number is 1 and conclude that $\varphi(U^gM)$ is a Finsler structure on S^2. The composition of φ with the canonical projection onto the projective sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}TS^2 := \left(TS^2 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{R}^+$ will be denoted by $\tilde{\varphi}$. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an immersion, thus a local diffeomorphism and by compactness of U^gM and connectedness of $\mathbb{S}TS^2$ a covering map. But by [11, Prop. 2.9], M must be diffeomorphic to S^2, hence U^gM and $\mathbb{S}TS^2$ are diffeomorphic to $SO(3)$ and have fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. It follows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a diffeomorphism which implies that φ is one-to-one and therefore by [2, Prop. 2] $\varphi(U^gM)$ is a Finsler structure on S^2. Moreover S^2 can be oriented in such a way that the φ-pullback of the canonical coframing induced on $\varphi(U^gM)$ agrees with $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$. In particular this implies that the Finsler structure satisfies $K = 1$ and has 2π-periodic geodesic flow. It remains to show that another representative $(\bar{g}, \bar{\beta})$ of $[g, \beta]$ gives rise to an isometric Finsler structure. Let $\bar{g} = e^{2f}g$ and $\bar{\beta} = \beta + df$ for some smooth function $f : M \to R$, $\bar{\omega}_i \in \Omega^1(U^{\bar{g}}M)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ the corresponding 1-forms and $\nu : U^gM \to U^{\bar{g}}M$ be the canonical diffeomorphism which rescales a g-unit vector to a $\bar{g}$-unit vector. Then standard formulae imply

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^*\bar{\alpha}_1 =& e^{f\circ\pi}\alpha_1,\\ \nu^*\bar{\alpha}_2 =& e^{f\circ\pi}\alpha_2,\\ \nu^*\bar{\alpha}_3 =& \alpha_3+\pi^*(\star df).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\nu^*\bar{\omega}_i=\omega_i$ for $i=1,2,3$ and hence the Finsler structures induced by (g,β) and $(\bar{g},\bar{\beta})$ are isometric.

The converse statement is already contained in [4]. However we give an explicit proof for the sake of completeness. Let $\Sigma\subset TM$ be a $K=1$ Finsler structure on the compact oriented surface M with 2π-periodic geodesic flow $\phi:\Sigma\times\mathbb{R}\to\Sigma$. As was shown in [4, Prop. 3] no generality is lost if we assume M to be diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere and hence Σ to be diffeomorphic to $SO(3)$. The Cartan coframe will be denoted by $(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3)$ and the dual vector fields by (W_1,W_2,W_3). By [4, Prop. 5], the S^1-action on Σ

$$v\cdot e^{it}:=\phi(v,t)$$

is smooth, free and proper. It follows that there exists a smooth surface Λ and a smooth surjective submersion $\lambda:\Sigma\to\Lambda$ which makes $\lambda:\Sigma\to\Lambda$ into a right principal S^1-bundle whose fibers are the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}:=\{\omega_2,\omega_3\}^{\perp}$. This circle-bundle has the exact homotopy sequence

$$\cdots\to\pi_1(S^1)\to\pi_1(SO(3))\to\pi_1(\Lambda)\to\pi_0(S^1)\to\cdots$$

i.e.

$$\cdots\to\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}_2\to\pi_1(\Lambda)\to 0\to\cdots$$

In particular $\pi_1(\Lambda)=\mathbb{Z}_2$ or 0, but since Λ is compact and orientable it must be diffeomorphic to S^2. The structure equations of a Finsler $K=1$ structure show that the symmetric 2-tensor $\omega_2\otimes\omega_2+\omega_3\otimes\omega_3$ and the 2-form $\omega_3\wedge\omega_2$ are invariant under ϕ. Hence there exists a unique Riemannian metric g on Λ for which $\lambda^*g=\omega_2\otimes\omega_2+\omega_3\otimes\omega_3$ and a unique area form μ on Λ for which $\lambda^*\mu=\omega_3\wedge\omega_2$. The same arguments as before show that the map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi:\Sigma&\to T\Lambda\\ v&\mapsto\lambda'_v\left(W_3(v)\right)\end{aligned}$$

is an embedding which sends Σ to the total space of the unit tangent bundle $\pi:U^g\Lambda\to\Lambda$. Let $(\tilde{\omega}_1,\tilde{\omega}_2,\tilde{\omega}_3)\in\Omega^1(U^g\Lambda)$ denote the φ-pushforward of the Cartan coframe of Σ and $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)\in\Omega^1(U^g\Lambda)$ the canonical coframe of $U^g\Lambda$ with respect to the orientation induced by μ. By construction $\varphi^*\alpha_1=\omega_3$ and $\varphi^*\alpha_2=\omega_2$. The structure equations then imply $\varphi^*\alpha_3=I\omega_2+C\omega_3-\omega_1$, where $I\omega_2+C\omega_3=\lambda^*\tilde{\beta}$ for some smooth 1-form $\tilde{\beta}$ on Λ. Consequently $\tilde{\omega}_1=\pi^*\tilde{\beta}-\alpha_3$, $\tilde{\omega}_2=\alpha_2$ and $d\tilde{\omega}_1=\tilde{\omega}_3\wedge\tilde{\omega}_2$. This shows that the Weyl structure $[g,-\star_g\tilde{\beta}]$ is positive and that the geodesics of its Weyl connection are simple closed curves, since they are the λ-projections of the Finsler structure unit circles. The pushforward of (g,β) to S^2 with any diffeomorphism $\psi:\Lambda\to S^2$ gives the desired positive Weyl-Zoll structure on the 2-sphere. $\square$

References

[1] R. L. Bryant, M. Dunajsk, and M. Eastwood. Metrisability of two-dimensional projective structures. *arXiv*, 0801.0300v1 [math-DG], 2008.

[2] Robert L. Bryant. Projectively flat Finsler 2-spheres of constant curvature. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 3(2):161–203, 1997.

[3] Robert L. Bryant. Some remarks on Finsler manifolds with constant flag curvature. *Houston J. Math.*, 28(2):221–262, 2002. Special issue for S. S. Chern.

[4] Robert L. Bryant. Geodesically reversible Finsler 2-spheres of constant curvature. In *Inspired by S. S. Chern*, volume 11 of *Nankai Tracts Math.*, pages 95–111. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.

[5] Robert L. Bryant. Aufwiedersehen Surfaces, Revisited. *Forthcoming publication.*

[6] David M. J. Calderbank. Möbius structures and two-dimensional Einstein-Weyl geometry. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 504:37–53, 1998.

[7] Elie Cartan. Sur les variétés à connexion projective. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 52:205–241, 1924.

[8] Elie Cartan. Sur un probleme d'équivalence et la théorie des espaces métriques généralisés. *Mathematica*, 4(3):221–262, 1930.

[9] Shiing-shen Chern. Minimal surfaces in an Euclidean space of N dimensions. In *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)*, pages 187–198. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965.

[10] S. Kobayashi and T. Nagano. On projective connections. *J. Math. Mech.*, 13:215–236, 1964.

[11] Claude Lebrun and L. J. Mason. Zoll manifolds and complex surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 61(3):453–535, 2002.

[12] Roger Liouville. Sur les invariants de certaines équations différentielles et sur leurs applications. *Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique*, 59:7–76, 1889.

[13] N. R. O'Brian and J. H. Rawnsley. Twistor spaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 3(1):29–58, 1985.

[14] Hermann Weyl. Zur Infinitesimalgeometrie; Einordnung der projektiven und der konformen Auffassung. *Göttingen Nachrichten*, pages 99–122, 1921.

[15] Hermann Weyl. *Raum. Zeit. Materie*, volume 251 of *Heidelberger Taschenbücher [Heidelberg Paperbacks]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, seventh edition, 1988. Vorlesungen über allgemeine Relativitätstheorie. [Lectures on general relativity theory], Edited and with a foreword by Jürgen Ehlers.

THOMAS METTLER
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF FRIBOURG
CHEMIN DU MUSÉE 23
1700 FRIBOURG
SWITZERLAND
EMAIL : THOMAS.METTLER@GMAIL.COM